

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,877	02/02/2005	Takehiko Nomura	0020-5340PUS1	5247
2292 7590 BIDCH STEWAR	03/30/2007 Γ KOLASCH & BIRCH	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		MACAULEY, SHERIDAN R		
FALLS CHURCH	, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAVS		03/30/2007	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 03/30/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/522,877	NOMURA ET AL.				
	Examiner Charitan B. ManAulau	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Sheridan R. MacAuley	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pr Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 November 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-11,14-22 and 24-41</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-11, 14-22, 24-41</u> are subjected.	ndrawn from consideration.	requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second secon	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/522,877

Art Unit: 1609

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

4. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 4-6, 14-19, 37-39 and 41, drawn to a paste comprising bacterial cell wall components (CWS).

Group II, claim(s) 7-10, drawn to a process for preparation of a paste.

Group III, claim(s) 11, drawn to a paste comprising bacterial CWS.

Group IV, claim(s) 20 and 21, drawn to a process for preparation of a paste.

Group V, claim(s) 24 and 25, drawn to an assembly of bacterial CWS particles.

Group VI, claim(s) 26-28, drawn to a process for the assembly of bacterial CWS particles.

Group VII, claim(s) 29-30 and 33-36, drawn to a process for the identification of a species and/or strain of a bacterium.

Group VIII, claim(s) 31 and 32, drawn to a process for assay of immunopotentiating activity of a bacterial CWS.

Group IX, claim(s) 22 and 40, drawn to a paste that is lyophilized.

5. The inventions listed as Groups I through IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical feature common to Groups I and IV is a composition comprising bacterial CWS and an oil, where the viscosity is 0.7 poise or less. The technical feature common to Groups I through IV is composition comprising bacterial CWS and an oil. The

Art Unit: 1609

technical feature common to groups I through IV and V through VIII is a composition comprising bacterial CWS. However, Azuma et al. (EP 1097715 A1, 2001) teach a composition comprising bacterial CWS and an oil (p. 17, line 57-p. 18, line 11). The composition is suspended in squalene and water, and would therefore have a viscosity of less than 0.7 poise (water has a viscosity of 0.009 poise at 25 degrees Celsius and squalene has a viscosity of 0.2 poise at 30 degrees Celsius; note that one centipoise equals one millipascal; CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics p. 6-175 and US Pat. 4,122,023, col. 8, table 1). Further, there is no technical feature common to Groups I through VIII and IX. Therefore, the inventions of Groups I through IX do not share a special technical feature that makes a contribution over the prior art.

Notice of Potential Rejoinder

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of

Art Unit: 1609

the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan R. MacAuley whose telephone number is (571) 270-3056. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5:00PM EST, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Mosher can be reached on (571) 272-0906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRM

MARY MOSHEH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Man Mide